## <u>DESIGN REVIEW DOCUMENTATION – RESULTS</u>

Title: NSTX CSU Peer Review	<b>WP#:</b> 1511 (ENG-032)
Type of Review: ☐ Peer ☐ CDR ☐ PDR ☐ FD	R
Cog Individual: L. Dudek Date of	f Review: April 29, 2010
Review Board Members & Invited attendees :	
Chairperson: L. Dudek QA	C. Priniski, G. Labik, B. Stratton
P. Titus, R. Strykowsky R. Hatcher, J. Makiel	R. Kaita, A. Zolfaghari,
J. Chrzanowski, D. Mangra J. Menard, M. Ono, C. Neume	eyer T. Willard, A. Brooks
S. Ramakrishnan, M. Williams R. Simmons, K. Tresemer	P. Heitzenroeder, T. Stevenson _
G. Voss (MAST via telecon) K. Freudenberg (ORNL via T	elecon) B. LeBlanc, P. Sichta, H. Zang _
Regulatory Compliance: J. Levine	
Items Reviewed:  Appropriate requirements identified  Development plans and schedules  Regulatory compliance including USQD and NEPA  Disposition of CHITS from previous reviews  Cost objectives  Other review objectives addressed  (attachment 4 of ENG-033)  SUMMARY OF RESULTS:  This review was a technical review of the progress towards a PDR scheduled for June. Detailed technical presentations were given on the major aspects of the center stack upgrade. Twenty (20) chits were generated at the review and were dispositioned afterward. There were no significant issues identified an this review was considered successful with resolution of the chits.	
Disposition: [check one]Acceptable	
X Acceptable pending resolution of concerns- CHITS identified	above must be resolved prior to installation.
Incomplete - Additional design work is required prior to another design review.	
Chairperson Signature:	Date:

**Distribution**: Review Board Members, Operations Center, Cognizant Design Engineer, System Engineer(s), Attendees, QA, ES&H