

Supported by

NSTX Upgrade Project Overview

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U **SNL** Think Tank, Inc. UC Davis **UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

Erik Perry

NSTX Upgrade Project Conceptual Design Review LSB, B318 October 28-29, 2009





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kvoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA. Frascati **CEA.** Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENER

1

NSTX Upgrade Project

- Upgrade centerstack to enable operations at
 - Toroidal magnetic field of up to 1 Tesla (presently 0.55 Tesla)
 - Plasma current up to 2 Mega-amp (presently 1 Mega-amp)
- Install a second neutral beamline
 - Beams tangent to radii 130cm, 120cm and 109.4cm
 - Configure NB1 and NB2 so they can operate together or separately
- Cost and schedule consistent with CD-0
 - Cost range of \$75M \$91M
 - Project completion in 2014 for the baseline case



NSTX Upgrade Project Organization

NSTX UPGRAGE PROGECT ORGANIZATION



WNSTX

General Requirements Documents

- General Requirements Documents (GRDs) were generated and approved early in the conceptual design process
 - One GRD for the centerstack
 - Another GRD for the second neutral beamline
- Design philosophy for the centerstack
 - Very conservative design (to handle maximum output from power supplies)
 - Drop back to designing to the required operational levels if the conservative design becomes too expensive
- Design philosophy for the second neutral beamline (NB2)
 - Provide a beamline with the same characteristics as the first beamline on NSTX
 - Assume that internal copper parts need to be re-made until it is proven that they can be sufficiently decontaminated



Centerstack Upgrade Scope

- Inner TF bundle (centerstack)
- TF Flex bus
- OH coil
- Inner PF coils
- Enhance outer TF supports
- Enhance PF supports
- Reinforce umbrella structure
- New umbrella lids



- Plan is to fabricate inner TF bundle in-house
- Estimates are based on the actual costs of designing, fabricating and installing the current centerstack
- Estimates are conservative
 - Opportunities for reducing costs



Second Neutral Beam Scope

- Disassemble and evaluate a TFTR beamline
- Decontaminate
- Refurbish for reuse
- Relocate pumpduct, 22 racks and numerous diagnostics to make room in the NSTX Test Cell
- Install new port on vacuum vessel to accommodate NB2
- Move NB2 to the NSTX Test Cell
- Run services (power, water, cryo and controls)



- Estimates are based on the actual costs of designing, refurbishing and installing NSTX Neutral Beam #1
- Decontamination estimates are based on actual experience with TFTR neutral beams
- Estimates are conservative
 - Includes costs for making new parts that might be able to be decontaminated for reuse
 - Opportunities for reducing costs whenever decontamination succeeds



- Successful technical peer review in June for Neutral Beam #2
- Successful technical peer review in August for new Centerstack
- Lehman Review December 15th-16th
- Documentation specified by DOE Order 413.3 is on track for submitting a CD-1 request right after Lehman Review



Charge Questions

- Have the requirements in the GRDs been addressed?
 - Yes, both the Centerstack and the Second Neutral Beam have very successfully going through technical peer reviews
- Does the CDR satisfy the objectives of PPPL Procedure ENG-033?
 - Yes, successful technical reviews completed; ES&H is being addressed in designs; bottom-up cost and schedule details for all jobs
- Have risks been appropriately identified and handled?
 - Yes, the risks identified at CD-0, such as the design for the TF flex joint, are being addressed and retired. The Risk Registry is tracking all identified risks.
- Are ES&H issues properly addressed?
 - Yes, the Preliminary Hazard Analysis is based on current plans using the hazard analysis summary in the NSTX Safety Assessment Document.



Charge Questions

- Is the proposed cost range and schedule adequate/realistic (for CD-1)?
 - Yes, a well detailed resource loaded schedule exists and provides the basis for all cost and schedule estimates
- Is the project organization/staffing appropriate?
 - Yes, as part of performing the conceptual design, we have brought on the staff that will be needed for the next phase of the project
- Is the project ready for CD-1 per DOE Order 413.3A?
 - Yes, documents have been prepared ... a few, such as the Preliminary Project Execution Plan, will be circulated for sign-off following the CDR

